

COMPLAINTS

A. DEFINITION

A complaint is defined by the Commission on Dental Accreditation as one alleging that a Commission-accredited educational program, a program which has an application for initial accreditation pending, or the Commission may not be in substantial compliance with Commission standards or required accreditation procedures.

B. PROGRAM REQUIREMENTS AND PROCEDURES

NOTICE OF OPPORTUNITY TO FILE COMPLAINTS: In accord with the U.S. Department of

C. COMMISSION LOG OF COMPLAINTS

A log is maintained of all complaints received by the Commission. A central log related to each complaint is maintained in an electronic data base. Detailed notes of each complaint and its disposition are also maintained in individual program files.

Revised: 8/10, 7/06, 7/02, 7/00, 7/96; Reaffirmed: 8/15; CODA: 01/95:5

D. POLICY AND PROCEDURE REGARDING INVESTIGATION OF COMPLAINTS AGAINST EDUCATIONAL PROGRAMS

The following policy and procedures have been developed to handle the investigation of “formal” complaints and “anonymous” comments/complaints about an accredited program, or a program which has a current application for initial accreditation pending, which may not be in substantial compliance with Commission standards or established accreditation policies.

The Commission will consider formal, written, signed complaints using the procedure noted in the section entitled “Formal Complaints.” Unsigned comments/complaints will be considered “anonymous comments/complaints” and addressed as set forth in the section entitled “Anonymous Comments/Complaints.” Oral comments/complaints will not be considered.

Formal Complaints

A “formal” complaint is defined as a complaint filed in written (or electronic) form and signed by the complainant. This complaint should outline the specific policy, procedure or standard in question and rationale for the complaint including specific documentation or examples. Complainants who submit complaints verbally will receive direction to submit a formal complaint to the Commission in written, signed form following guidelines in the EOPP manual.

1. Investigative Procedures for Formal Complaints: Students, faculty, constituent dental societies, state boards of dentistry, patients, and other interested parties may submit an appropriate, signed, formal complaint to the Commission on Dental Accreditation regarding any Commission accredited dental, allied dental or advanced dental education program, or a program that has an application for initial accreditation pending. An appropriate complaint is one that directly addresses a program’s compliance with the Commission’s standards, policies and procedures. The Commission is interested in the continued improvement and sustained quality of dental and dental-related education programs but does not intervene on behalf of individuals or act as a court of appeal for treatment received by patients or individuals in matters of9(qua (o)10.-2.6(er)-2(s)-4.6(f)-3.9(6(n))TJ 0.002 Tc -0.002 Tw 1n)10.9(a)-1.7(c)-1.6(c8.9(i)-4.6(nn,c)-1.6(

procedure(s) or standard(s) in question by a specific date, usually within thirty (30) days.

- a. For standard(s)-related complaints, the Commission uses the questions contained in the appropriate sections of the self-study to provide guidance on the compliance issues to be addressed in the report and on any documentation required to demonstrate compliance. Additional guidance on how to best demonstrate compliance may also be provided to the program.
 - b. For policy(ies) or procedure(s)-related complaints, the Commission provides the program with the appropriate policy or procedural statement from the Commission's Evaluation and Operational Policies and Procedures Manual. Additional guidance on how to best demonstrate compliance will be provided to the program. The Chair of the appropriate Review Committee and/or legal counsel may assist in developing this guidance.
4. Receipt of the program's written compliance report, including documentation, is acknowledged.
 5. The appropriate Review Committee and the Commission will investigate the issue(s) raised in the complaint and review the program's written compliance report at the next regularly scheduled meeting. In the event that waiting until the next meeting would preclude a timely review, the appropriate Review Committee(s) will review the compliance report in a telephone conference call(s). The action recommended by the Review Committee(s) will be forwarded to the Commission for mail ballot approval in this later case.
 6. The Commission may act on the compliance question(s) raised by the complaint by:
 - a. determining that the program continues to comply with the policy(ies), procedure(s) or standard(s) in question and that no further action is required.
 - b. determining that the program may not continue to comply with the policy(ies), procedure(s) or standard(s) in question and going on to determine whether the corrective action the program would take to come into full compliance could be documented and reported to the Commission in writing or would require an on-site review.
 - i. If by written report: The Commission will describe the scope and nature of the problem and set a compliance deadline and submission date for the report and documentation of corrective action taken by the program.
 - ii. If by on-site review: The Commission will describe the scope and nature of the problem and determine, based on the number and seriousness of the identified problem(s), whether the matter can be reviewed at the next regularly scheduled on-site review or whether a special on-site review will be conducted. If a special on-site review is required, the visit will be scheduled and conducted in accord with the Commission's usual procedures for such site visits.
 - c. determining that a program does not comply with the policy(ies), procedure(s) or standards(s) in question and:
 - i. changing a fully-operational program's accreditation status to "approval with reporting requirements"
 - ii. going on to determine whether the corrective action the program would take to come into full compliance could

r o -

- a. notify the program of the results of the investigation.
 - b. notify the complainant of the results of the investigation.
 - c. record the action.
8. The compliance of programs applying for initial accreditation is assessed through a combination of written reports and on-site reviews.
- a. When the Commission receives a complaint regarding a program which has an application for initial accreditation pending, the Commission will satisfy itself about all issues of compliance addressed in the complaint as part of its process of reviewing the applicant program for initial accreditation.
 - b. Complainants will be informed that the Commission does provide developing programs with a reasonable amount of time to come into full compliance with standards that are based on a certain amount of operational experience.

Revised: 8/17; 1/98; Reaffirmed: 8/15; 8/10, 7/09, 7/04; Adopted: 7/96

Anonymous Comments/Complaints

An “anonymous comment/complaint” is defined as an unsigned comment/complaint submitted to the Commission.

All anonymous complaints will be reviewed by Commission staff to determine linkage to Accreditation Standards or CODA policy and procedures. If linkage to Accreditation Standards or CODA policy is identified, legal counsel, the Chair or the appropriate Review Committee, and the applicable Review Committee members may be consulted to assist in determining whether there is sufficient evidence of

E. POLICY AND PROCEDURES ON COMPLAINTS DIRECTED AT THE COMMISSION ON DENTAL ACCREDITATION

Interested parties may submit an appropriate, signed complaint to the Commission on Dental Accreditation regarding Commission policy(ies), procedure(s) or the implementation thereof. The Commission will determine whether the information submitted constitutes an appropriate complaint and will follow up according to the established procedures.

Procedures:

- 1.